

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spole.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/017,329	02/02/1998	RYUICHI MATSUKURA	1083.1049/JD	8159	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 03/11/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700			WINDER, PATRICE L		
WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2145		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/017,329	MATSUKURA, RYUICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patrice Winder	2145	

	Patrice Winder	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloid) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		Inpliant Americanient (101-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	/Patrice Winder/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2145				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it appears that Applicant is "reading limitations into the claims". The "resource settings means" has been rejected considering the functional requirements of the present claims. At present and after reviewing the file history, it does not appear that Applicant has invoked 112, 6th paragraph interpretation of the claims. Therefore, the claims have been given the broadset reasonable interpretation after considering the specification. According, the claims "resource information" is transmitted from the first computer and used by the second computer. Jalalian taught a first computer sending "resource information" is a second computer. Jalalian taught as first computer sending "resource information" to establish the virtual connection. There is a difference between "transmitting" and "downloading" because "transmitting" does not require a prior request. however "downloading" is initiated by prior request.